RANP 2013 Takeshi Kodama's Fest

Z_c⁺(3900) decay width from QCD sum rules

M. Nielsen Universidade de São Paulo

in coll. with J.M.Dias, F.S. Navarra & C.M. Zanetti



Z_c⁺(3900) decay width from QCD sum rules

M. Nielsen Universidade de São Paulo

in coll. with J.M.Dias, F.S. Navarra & C.M. Zanetti

Y(4350) Z(4430) $Z_1(4051)$ Some Charmonium states discovered at the B factories













e⁺ e⁻ colliders with CM energy of 10.6 GeV











X(3872)	Y(4260)	Z ⁺ (4430)
$B^{\pm} ightarrow K^{\pm}(J/\psi\pi^{+}\pi^{-})$	$e^+e^- \to \gamma_{IRS}(J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-)$	$\bar{B}^0 \to K^-(\psi' \pi^+)$
Y(4360)	Y(4660)	Zı ⁺ (4050)
$e^+e^- \to \gamma_{IRS}(\psi'\pi^+\pi^-)$	$e^+e^- \to \gamma_{IRS}(\psi'\pi^+\pi^-)$	$\bar{B}^0 \to K^-(\chi_{c1}\pi^+)$
Z ⁺ ₂ (4250)	Y(4140)	Z _c ⁺ (3900)
$\bar{B}^0 \to K^-(\chi_{c1}\pi^+)$	$B^+ \to K^+(\phi J/\psi)$	$Y(4260) \to (J/\psi\pi^+)\pi^-$

Common features

- All these states decay into J/ψ (ψ') → they have a or cc pair in their quark components
- Their masses are not compatible with quark model calculations for charmonium states
- Absence of open charm production in their decays is inconsistent with cc interpretation
- Candidates for exotic (not quark-antiquark) states







BRA







X(3872): molecular $(D^{*0}\overline{D}^0 + \overline{D}^{*0}D^0)$ state (Swanson, Close, Voloshin, Wong ...) Tornqwist (ZPC61(94)) predict a $\overline{D}D^*$ molecule with $J^{PC} = 0^{-+}$ or 1^{++} Maiani et al. (PRD71 (05)) tetraquark $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$ state



$M(D^{*0}\bar{D}^0) = (3871 \pm 1)$

X(3872): molecular $(D^{*0}\overline{D}^0 + \overline{D}^{*0}D^0)$ state (Swanson, Close, Voloshin, Wong ...) Tornqwist (ZPC61(94)) predict a $\overline{D}D^*$ molecule with $J^{PC} = 0^{-+}$ or 1^{++} Maiani et al. (PRD71 (05)) tetraquark $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$ state



molecular and tetraquark interpretations differ by the way quarks are organized in the state





charged state \Rightarrow not a $c\overline{c}$!









charged state ➡ not a $c\bar{c}$!

Events / 10^2

10

 10^{-1}

PRL100(08)142001



searched Z⁻(4430) in 4 decay modes:

no conclusive evidence for the existence of Z⁺(4430) seen by Belle $\sim 10^3$





6.1 σ and J⁺ =1⁺

charged state \Rightarrow not a $c\overline{c}$!

PRL100(08)142001



searched Z⁻(4430) in 4 decay modes:

no conclusive evidence for the existence of Z+(4430) seen by Belle













Z_c⁺(3900): most recent aquisition

BES arXiv:1303.5949

$M = (3890 \pm 3.6 \pm 4.9) \text{ MeV}$ $\Gamma = (46 \pm 10 \pm 20) \text{ MeV}$





arXiv:1304.0121

$M = (3894.5 \pm 6.6 \pm 4.5) \text{ MeV}$ $\Gamma = (63 \pm 24 \pm 26) \text{ MeV}$



 $Y(4260) \to (J/\psi\pi^+)\pi^-$

Z_c⁺(3900): most recent aquisition

BES arXiv:1303.5949

$M = (3890 \pm 3.6 \pm 4.9) \text{ MeV}$ $\Gamma = (46 \pm 10 \pm 20) \text{ MeV}$

 $Y(4260) \to (J/\psi \pi^+)\pi^-$

BELLE a

arXiv:1304.0121

 $M = (3894.5 \pm 6.6 \pm 4.5) MeV$

Γ = (63±24±26) MeV

70 + Data 100 🕂 data Events / 0.02 GeV/c² Events / 0.01 GeV/c² Total fit 60 Fit Background fit 80 Background 50 ---- PHSP MC ···· PHSP MC Sideband 40 60 30 40 20 20 10 0 3.7 3.8 3.7 3.9 3.8 3.9 4.1 4.2 $M_{max}(\pi J/\psi)$ (GeV/c²) $M_{max}(\pi J/\psi)$ (GeV/c²)

J^P =1⁺ → charged partner of the X(3872)

QCD Sum Rule

Fundamental Assumption: Principle of Duality

$$\Pi(q)=i\int d^4x~e^{iq.x}~\langle 0|T[j(x)j^\dagger(0)]|0
angle$$

Theoretical side Phenomenological side

QCD Sum Rule

Fundamental Assumption: Principle of Duality

$$\Pi(q) = i \int d^4x \ e^{iq \cdot x} \ \langle 0|T[j(x)j^{\dagger}(0)]|0\rangle$$
Theoretical side Phenomenological side
Theoretical side
$$I(q) = i \int d^4x \ e^{iq \cdot x} \langle 0|T[j(x)j^{\dagger}(0)|0\rangle = \sum C_n(Q^2)\hat{O}_n$$

n

Phenomenological side

 $\Pi($

$$\Pi(q^2) = -\int ds \, \frac{\rho(s)}{q^2 - s + i\epsilon} + \cdots$$

$$\rho(s) = \lambda^2 \delta(s - m^2) + \rho_{cont}(s)$$

$$\langle 0|j|H \rangle = \lambda \qquad \rho_{cont}(s) = \rho^{OPE}(s)\Theta(s - s_0)$$

$$s_0: \text{ continuum parameter}$$

$$\Pi^{phen}(Q^2) \leftrightarrow \Pi^{OPE}(Q^2) \implies \text{Borel transform}$$

$$\lambda^2 e^{-m^2/M^2} = \int_{s_{min}}^{s_0} ds \ e^{-s/M^2} \ \rho^{OPE}(s)$$

$$\rho(s) = \lambda^2 \delta(s - m^2) + \rho_{cont}(s)$$

$$\langle 0|j|H \rangle = \lambda \qquad \rho_{cont}(s) = \rho^{OPE}(s)\Theta(s - s_0)$$

$$s_0: \text{ continuum parameter}$$

$$\Pi^{phen}(Q^2) \leftrightarrow \Pi^{OPE}(Q^2) \implies \text{Borel transform}$$

$$\lambda^2 e^{-m^2/M^2} = \int_{s_{min}}^{s_0} ds \ e^{-s/M^2} \ \rho^{OPE}(s)$$

Good sum Rule – Dorei window such that:

- pole contribution > continuum contribution
- good OPE convergence
- good Borel stability

$$m^{2} = \frac{\int_{s_{min}}^{s_{0}} ds \ e^{-s/M^{2}} \ s \ \rho^{OPE}(s)}{\int_{s_{min}}^{s_{0}} ds \ e^{-s/M^{2}} \ \rho^{OPE}(s)}$$

Matheus, Narison, MN, Richard: PRD75 (07)

 $j_{\mu} = \frac{i\epsilon_{abc}\epsilon_{dec}}{\sqrt{2}} [(q_a^T C\gamma_5 c_b)(\bar{q}_d\gamma_{\mu}C\bar{c}_e^T) + (q_a^T C\gamma_{\mu}c_b)(\bar{q}_d\gamma_5 C\bar{c}_e^T)]$

$$m^{2} = \frac{\int_{s_{min}}^{s_{0}} ds \ e^{-s/M^{2}} \ s \ \rho^{OPE}(s)}{\int_{s_{min}}^{s_{0}} ds \ e^{-s/M^{2}} \ \rho^{OPE}(s)}$$

Matheus, Narison, MN, Richard: PRD75 (07)





$$m^{2} = \frac{\int_{s_{min}}^{s_{0}} ds \ e^{-s/M^{2}} \ s \ \rho^{OPE}(s)}{\int_{s_{min}}^{s_{0}} ds \ e^{-s/M^{2}} \ \rho^{OPE}(s)}$$

Matheus, Narison, MN, Richard: PRD75 (07)





$$m^{2} = \frac{\int_{s_{min}}^{s_{0}} ds \ e^{-s/M^{2}} \ s \ \rho^{OPE}(s)}{\int_{s_{min}}^{s_{0}} ds \ e^{-s/M^{2}} \ \rho^{OPE}(s)}$$

Matheus, Narison, MN, Richard: PRD75 (07)











Lee, MN, Wiedner: $D^0 \overline{D}^{*0}$ molecular current (arXiv:0803.1168)

$$j_{\mu}^{(q,mol)}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\left(\bar{q}_a(x) \gamma_5 c_a(x) \bar{c}_b(x) \gamma_\mu q_b(x) \right) - \left(\bar{q}_a(x) \gamma_\mu c_a(x) \bar{c}_b(x) \gamma_5 q_b(x) \right) \right]$$

 $m_X = (3.87 \pm 0.07)$ GeV



Lee, MN, Wiedner: $D^0 \overline{D}^{*0}$ molecular current (arXiv:0803.1168)

 $j_{\mu}^{(q,mol)}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\left(\bar{q}_a(x) \gamma_5 c_a(x) \bar{c}_b(x) \gamma_\mu q_b(x) \right) - \left(\bar{q}_a(x) \gamma_\mu c_a(x) \bar{c}_b(x) \gamma_5 q_b(x) \right) \right]$

 $m_X = (3.87 \pm 0.07)$ GeV

same mass is obtained for $Z_c^+(3900)$



Problem: decay width $X \rightarrow J/\psi\pi\pi$ ~ 50 MeV (Navarra, MN, PLB639 (06)272)



Problem: decay width $X \rightarrow J/\psi\pi\pi$ ~ 50 MeV (Navarra, MN, PLB639 (06)272)

How to solve this problem?



If X(3872) is a genuine tetraquark state, only color-conected diagrams will contribute



Problem: decay width $X \rightarrow J/\psi\pi\pi$ ~ 50 MeV (Navarra, MN, PLB639 (06)272)

How to solve this problem?



If X(3872) is a genuine tetraquark state, only color-conected diagrams will contribute

 $\Gamma_{CC}(X \rightarrow J/\psi \ (n\pi)) = (0.7 \pm 0.2) \text{ MeV}$

Navarra, MN, PLB639(06)272

Compatible with the experimental X(3872) width: Γ <2.3 MeV



$$\frac{1}{\sum_{\substack{x, y \in 0}}} \frac{1}{\sum_{\substack{y, y \in 0}}} \frac{1}{\sum_{\substack{x, y \in 0}}$$

1+

coupling constant

1+

$$A\left(e^{-m_{\psi}^2/M^2} - e^{-m_{Z_c}^2/M^2}\right) + B \ e^{-s_0/M^2} \quad \text{with} \quad A = \frac{g_{Z_c\psi\pi}\lambda_{Z_c}f_{\psi}F_{\pi} \ (m_{Z_c}^2 + m_{\psi}^2)}{2m_{Z_c}^2m_{\psi}(m_{Z_c}^2 - m_{\psi}^2)}$$

$$\frac{\langle \bar{q}g\sigma.Gq\rangle}{12\sqrt{2}\pi^2}\int_0^1 d\alpha \, e^{\frac{-m_c^2}{\alpha(1-\alpha)M^2}}$$



$$A\left(e^{-m_{\psi}^2/M^2} - e^{-m_{Z_c}^2/M^2}\right) + B \ e^{-s_0/M^2} \quad \text{with} \quad A = \frac{g_{Z_c\psi\pi}\lambda_{Z_c}f_{\psi}F_{\pi} \ (m_{Z_c}^2 + m_{\psi}^2)}{2m_{Z_c}^2m_{\psi}(m_{Z_c}^2 - m_{\psi}^2)}$$



$$A\left(e^{-m_{\psi}^2/M^2} - e^{-m_{Z_c}^2/M^2}\right) + B \ e^{-s_0/M^2} \quad \text{with} \quad A = \frac{g_{Z_c\psi\pi}\lambda_{Z_c}f_{\psi}F_{\pi} \ (m_{Z_c}^2 + m_{\psi}^2)}{2m_{Z_c}^2m_{\psi}(m_{Z_c}^2 - m_{\psi}^2)}$$

OPE side:



 $\Gamma(Z_c^+(3900) \to J/\psi\pi^+) = (29.1 \pm 8.2) \text{ MeV}$



L. Maiani et al. arXiv:1303.6857





I knew it!

"We rely on a rough dimensional argument adopting $g \sim M_{Z_c^+} \sim 3.9 \text{ GeV}$ "

L. Maiani et al. arXiv:1303.6857

> 1. $\Gamma(Z_c^+ \to J/\psi \ \pi^+) \approx 29 \text{ MeV}$ 2. $\Gamma(Z_c^+ \to \psi(2S) \ \pi^+) \approx 6 \text{ MeV}$ 3. $\Gamma(Z_c^+ \to \eta_c \ \rho^+) \approx 19 \text{ MeV}$





"You should do calculations, like my friends, instead of relying in rough dimensional arguments"

Decay width
$$Z^+ \rightarrow \eta_c \rho^+$$

$$\Pi_{\mu\alpha}(p,p',q) = \int d^4x d^4y e^{ip'\cdot x} e^{iqy} \Pi_{\mu\alpha}(x,y)$$

$$\Pi_{\mu\alpha}(x,y) = \langle 0|T[j_5^{\eta_c}(x)j_{\mu}^{\rho}j_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(0)]0\rangle$$

$$C\left(e^{-m_{\eta_c}^2/M^2} - e^{-m_{Z_c}^2/M^2}\right) + D \ e^{-s_0/M^2} = \frac{Q^2 + m_{\rho}^2}{Q^2} \frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}g\sigma.Gq \rangle}{48\sqrt{2}\pi^2} \int_0^1 d\alpha \frac{e^{\frac{-m_c^2}{\alpha(1-\alpha)M^2}}}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} d\alpha \frac{e^{\frac{-m_c^2}{\alpha(1-\alpha)M^2}}}{\alpha(1-\alpha)M^2} d\alpha \frac{e^{\frac{-m_c^2}{\alpha$$

Decay width
$$Z^+ \rightarrow \eta_c \rho^+$$

$$\Pi_{\mu\alpha}(p,p',q) = \int d^4x d^4y e^{ip'\cdot x} e^{iqy} \Pi_{\mu\alpha}(x,y)$$

$$\Pi_{\mu\alpha}(x,y) = \langle 0|T[j_5^{\eta_c}(x)j_{\mu}^{\rho}j_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(0)]0\rangle$$

$$C\left(e^{-m_{\eta_c}^2/M^2} - e^{-m_{Z_c}^2/M^2}\right) + D \ e^{-s_0/M^2} = \frac{Q^2 + m_{\rho}^2}{Q^2} \frac{m_c \langle \bar{q}g\sigma.Gq \rangle}{48\sqrt{2}\pi^2} \int_0^1 d\alpha \frac{e^{\frac{-m_c^2}{\alpha(1-\alpha)M^2}}}{\alpha(1-\alpha)}$$
$$C = \frac{g_{Z_c\eta_c\rho}(Q^2)\lambda_{Z_c}m_{\rho}f_{\rho}f_{\eta_c}m_{\eta_c}^2}{2m_c m_{Z_c}^2(m_{Z_c}^2 - m_{\eta_c}^2)}$$

Decay width
$$Z^+ \rightarrow \eta_c \rho^+$$

$$\Pi_{\mu\alpha}(p,p',q) = \int d^4x d^4y e^{ip'.x} e^{iqy} \Pi_{\mu\alpha}(x,y)$$

$$\Pi_{\mu\alpha}(x,y) = \langle 0|T[j_5^{\eta_c}(x)j_{\mu}^{\rho}j_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(0)]0\rangle$$

$$C\left(e^{-m_{\eta_{c}}^{2}/M^{2}}-e^{-m_{Z_{c}}^{2}/M^{2}}\right)+D\ e^{-s_{0}/M^{2}}=\frac{Q^{2}+m_{\rho}^{2}}{Q^{2}}\frac{m_{c}\langle\bar{q}g\sigma.Gq\rangle}{48\sqrt{2}\pi^{2}}\int_{0}^{1}d\alpha\frac{e^{\frac{-m_{c}^{2}}{\alpha(1-\alpha)M^{2}}}}{\alpha(1-\alpha)}$$
form factor
$$C=\frac{g_{Z_{c}\eta_{c}\rho}(Q^{2})\lambda_{Z_{c}}m_{\rho}f_{\rho}f_{\eta_{c}}m_{\eta_{c}}^{2}}{2m_{c}m_{Z_{c}}^{2}(m_{Z_{c}}^{2}-m_{\eta_{c}}^{2})}$$











Decay width $Z^+ \rightarrow D^* D^+$



Decay width $Z^+ \rightarrow D^* D^+$





Decay width $Z^+ \rightarrow D^* D^+$



 $g_{Z_cD^*D}(Q^2) = 1.7e^{-0.08Q^2}$ $g_{Z_cD^*D}(Q^2 = -m_D^2) = (2.5 \pm 0.3) \text{ GeV}$



Vertex	coupling constant (GeV)	decay width (MeV)
$Z_{c}^{+}(3900)J/\psi\pi^{+}$	3.89 ± 0.56	29.1 ± 8.2
$Z_{c}^{+}(3900)\eta_{c}\rho^{+}$	4.85 ± 0.81	27.5 ± 8.5
$Z_c^+(3900)D^+\bar{D}^{*0}$	2.5 ± 0.3	3.2 ± 0.7
$Z_c^+(3900)\bar{D}^0D^{*+}$	2.5 ± 0.3	3.2 ± 0.7



Vertexcoupling constant (GeV)decay width (MeV)
$$Z_c^+(3900)J/\psi\pi^+$$
 3.89 ± 0.56 29.1 ± 8.2 $Z_c^+(3900)\eta_c\rho^+$ 4.85 ± 0.81 27.5 ± 8.5 $Z_c^+(3900)D^+\bar{D}^{*0}$ 2.5 ± 0.3 3.2 ± 0.7 $Z_c^+(3900)\bar{D}^0D^{*+}$ 2.5 ± 0.3 3.2 ± 0.7

$$\Gamma_{Z_c^+} = (63 \pm 18) \text{ MeV}$$

$$\Gamma_{Z_c^+}^{BESS} = (46 \pm 22) \text{ MeV}$$

$$\Gamma^{BELLE}_{Z_c^+} = (63 \pm 35) \text{ MeV}$$

Conclusions



 $Z_c^+(3900) \rightarrow J^P = 1^+$ tetraquark state \rightarrow charged partner of the X(3872) $\Gamma_{Z_c^+} = (63 \pm 18) \text{ MeV}$









Conclusions II

- Lots of charmonia in the last 10 years, new bottomonia start to appear: a new spectroscopy?
 - Emerging consensus that X(3872) is a mixed charmonium-molecular state.
- Discovery of Y(4260), Y(4360) and Y(4660) represent an overpopulation of the 1⁻⁻ charmonium states.
 - Absence of open charm production in the Y decay is inconsistent with $c\bar{c}$ interpretation
- Z⁺ states, need confirmation, but only molecule or tetraquark interpretations are possible